AO 247 (Rev. 11/11) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Page 1 of 2 (Page 2 Not for Public Disclosure)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Indiana

	United States of Ameri	ica)	
	v. Rickey Wayne Frankl	in) Case No:	3:98CR00017-002
	I Judgment: s Amended Judgment: mended Judgment if Any)	05/03/1999) USM No:) None	
(DING MOTIC RSUANT TO		ENTENCE REDUCTION 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for subsequently be § 994(u), and ha	a reduction in the term en lowered and made raving considered such r	n of imprisonment is retroactive by the U motion, and taking is	imposed based of nited States Serinto account the	u of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. on a guideline sentencing range that has ntencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. e policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 that they are applicable,
IT IS ORDERI DEN the last judgment is			t's previously in	mposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in ed to
		A CERTIFIE Laura A. Br U.S. District Con Southern Distri	urt *	TOSTRACT OF THE
Except as otherv	wise provided, all prov	isions of the judgm	ent dated	shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORD	ERED.		Ω_{1}	110-00-
Order Date:	02/06/2012		100	Judge's signature
Effective Date:	(if different from order dat	* te)	Т	he Honorable Richard L. Young Printed name and title

^{*} Unless otherwise indicated, the effective date of this order shall be ten (10) days after order date.

Addendum to Order Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)
Cause No. 3:98CR00017-002
Defendant Rickey Wayne Franklin
As directed by 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), the Court has considered the relevant factors in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and determined a sentence reduction is not appropriate for the following reason(s):
1) The defendant is not eligible for a reduction because the amendments listed in subsection (c) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 are not applicable to the defendant.
2) The amendment is listed in subsection (c) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 and is applicable to the defendant, but it does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable guideline range (e.g. a statutory mandatory minimum term of imprisonment, binding plea agreement career offender status).
3) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment, but the Court has determined such a reduction is not appropriate because of the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that may be posed by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
4) The defendant is eligible for a reduction under this amendment. However, the Court has determined the post-sentencing conduct demonstrates the defendant may pose a danger to any person or the community by a reduction in sentence. (Application Note 1(B) of U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10.)
✓ 5) Other (explain)
The defendant's case did not involve cocaine base. Furthermore, he received the mandatory minimum sentence authorized by statute.